|      | -  |
|------|----|
| 1000 | 1  |
| COF  | PY |
| 1    | A. |

# CAUSE NO. 10-16065

| STATE OF TEXAS,                                   | ) IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF         |
|---|------------------------------------|
| Plaintiff,<br>v.                                  | )<br>)<br>) DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS   |
| THE DANNON COMPANY, INC., a Delaware corporation, | )<br>2 <u>98</u> Judicial district |
| Defendant.  | )                                  |

# FINAL JUDGMENT AND AGREED PERMANENT INJUNCTION

# I. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Plaintiff, the State of TEXAS, by and through Greg Abbott, the Attorney General ("State"), and the Defendant, The Dannon Company, Inc, a Delaware corporation, as evidenced by the signatures of counsel, do consent to the entry of this Judgment and its provisions.
- 1.2 After engaging in settlement discussions, the Defendant enters into this Judgment to avoid the time and expense associated with litigation. This is a Judgment for which execution may issue. This agreement is for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by Defendant that the law has been violated as alleged in the complaint, or that the facts as alleged in the complaint, other than the jurisdictional facts, are true.
- 1.3 The Defendant hereby accepts and expressly waives any defect in connection with service of process issued to the Defendant by the Plaintiff. The Defendant expressly waives notice by the State of the alleged violations under the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices -

FINAL JUDGMENT AND AGREED PERMANENT INJUNCTION STATE OF TEXAS V. DANNON COMPANY, INC.

Consumer Protection Act, TEX. BUS. & COM. CODE ANN. §17.21 et seq. ("DTPA").

- 1.4 This Judgment is entered into by the Defendant as its own free and voluntary act and with full knowledge and understanding of the nature of the proceedings and the obligations and duties imposed upon it by this Judgment, and it consents to its entry without further notice, and avers that no offers, agreements or inducements of any nature whatsoever have been made to it by the Plaintiff or their attorneys or any State employee to procure this Judgment.
- 1.5 The Defendant has, by signature of counsel hereto, waived any right to add, alter, amend, appeal, petition for certiorari, or move to reargue or rehear or be heard in connection with any judicial proceeding upon this Judgment and any and all challenges in law or equity to the entry of the Judgment by the courts. If the court elects to hold any hearing on this Judgment, a representative of the Attorney General's office will briefly summarize the settlement for the court. The Defendant agrees to support the Judgment and its terms at any such hearing for approval.
- 1.6 In the event the Court shall not approve this Judgment, this Judgment shall be of no force and effect against either party.

## II. DEFENDANT

- 2.1 The Defendant warrants and represents that it is the proper party to this Judgment and admits that the Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and over the Defendant for the purpose of entering into and enforcing this Judgment. The Defendant agrees to pay all court costs and attorneys' fees associated with any successful petition to enforce any provision of this Judgment against the Defendant.
  - 2.2 The Defendant represents and warrants that the execution and delivery of this

FINAL JUDGMENT AND AGREED PERMANENT INJUNCTION STATE OF TEXAS V. DANNON COMPANY, INC.

Judgment is its free and voluntary act, and that this Judgment is the result of good faith negotiations.

- 2.3 The Defendant represents and warrants that signatories to this Judgment have authority to act for and bind the Defendant.
- 2.4 The Defendant acknowledges that it understands that the State and this Court expressly rely upon all representations and warranties in this Judgment. The Defendant further acknowledges and understands that if any Defendant makes any false or deceptive representation or warranty, the State has the right to vacate or set aside this Judgment, *inter alia*, in whole or in part, and to move that the Defendant making such false, or deceptive representation(s) or warranty(ies) be held in contempt and to seek sanctions and remedies under any other law, regulation or rule, together with any and all such other sanctions, remedies or relief as may be available to the State in law or equity, if the State so elects.

#### III. DEFINITIONS

- 3.1 As used in this Judgment, the following words or terms shall have the following meanings:
  - A. "Adequate and Well-Controlled Human Clinical Study" means a human clinical study conducted by persons qualified by training and experience to conduct such study. Such study shall be randomized, and unless it can be demonstrated that blinding or placebo control cannot be effectively or ethically implemented given the nature of the intervention, shall be double-blind and placebo-controlled.
  - B. "Advertise," "Advertisement," or "Advertising," means any written,

oral, graphic, or electronic statement, illustration, or depiction, including Labels or Labeling, that is designed to create interest in the purchasing of, impart information about the attributes of, publicize the availability of, or affect the sale or use of, the Defendant's goods or services, whether the statement appears in Labels or Labeling, in a brochure, newspaper, magazine, free standing insert, Marketing kit, leaflet, mailer, book insert, letter, catalogue, poster, chart, billboard, electronic mail, website or other digital format, slide, radio, broadcast television, cable television, or commercial or infomercial whether live or recorded.

- C. "And" and "Or" shall be construed conjunctively or disjunctively as necessary, and to make the applicable phrase or sentence inclusive rather than exclusive.
- D. "Attorney General" means Office of the Texas Attorney General.
- E. "Covered Conduct" shall mean the Defendant's Advertising, Marketing and Labeling practices regarding the Covered Products through the Effective Date of this Judgment.
- F. "Covered Product" or "Covered Products" shall mean (i) any yogurt, including but not limited to Activia yogurt; (ii) any dairy drink; and (iii) any food or drink not covered by the foregoing that contains a Probiotic, including, but not limited to, DanActive.
- G. "Defendant" or "Dannon" shall refer to The Dannon Company, Inc., a

  Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in White Plains,

- NY. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the term includes its successors and assigns and their officers, and each of the above's agents, representatives, and employees.
- H. "Disease" shall refer to damage to an organ, part, structure, or system of the body such that it does not function properly (e.g., cardiovascular disease), or a state of health leading to such dysfunctioning (e.g. hypertension); except that diseases resulting from essential nutrient deficiencies (e.g., scurvy, pellagra) are not included in this definition.
- I. "Effective Date" shall refer to the date that this Judgment is signed and fully executed by the parties and approved by the Court. However, the Effective Date as it affects existing Labeling shall be one hundred twenty (120) days after this Judgment is signed and fully executed by the parties and approved by the Court. All Covered Product manufactured after the one hundred twenty (120) days shall have the revised Labeling. However, the Effective Date for existing print Advertisements and broadcast Advertisements shall be 90 days after this Judgment is signed and fully executed by the parties and approved by the Court.
- J. **"Essentially Equivalent Product"** means a product that contains the identical ingredients, except for inactive ingredients (*e.g.*, inactive binders, flavors, preservatives, colors, fillers, excipients), in the same form and dosage, and with the same route of administration (*e.g.*, orally, sublingually), as the Covered Product; provided that the Covered Product

may contain additional ingredients or other differences in formulation to affect taste, texture, or nutritional value (so long as the other differences do not change the form of the product or involve the ingredients from which the functional benefit is derived), if reliable scientific evidence generally accepted by experts in the field demonstrates that the amount of additional ingredients, combination of additional ingredients, and any other differences in formulation are unlikely to impede or inhibit the effectiveness of the ingredients in the Essentially Equivalent Product.

- K. "**Including**" shall mean including, without limitation.
- L. "Label" shall mean a display of written, printed or graphic matter upon the immediate container of any article, or on the outside container or wrapper, if any, of the retail package of such article.
- M. "Labeling" shall mean all Labels and other written, printed, or graphic matter upon any article or any of its containers or wrappers, or accompanying such article.
- N. "Marketing" shall mean any act or process or technique of promoting, offering, selling or distributing a product or service.
- O. "**Probiotics**" shall mean live microorganisms, which when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host, excluding the cultures *Streptococcus thermophilus* and *Lactobacillus bulgaricus*.
- P. "Settling States" shall mean and include: Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois,

Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Texas, Tennessee, Vermont, Washington, West Virginia and Wisconsin.

Q. "State," "State of Texas," or "Attorney General" refers to the Plaintiff and shall mean the Office of the Texas Attorney General.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Judgment may be entered in this matter as follows:

#### IV. JURISDICTION

4.1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and over the Defendant for the purpose of entering into and enforcing this Judgment. Jurisdiction is retained by this Court for the purpose of enabling the State to apply to this Court for such further Judgments and directions as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction, modification or execution of this Judgment, including the enforcement of compliance therewith and remedies, penalties and sanctions for violation thereof.

#### V. VENUE

5.1 This Court has venue pursuant to Tex. Bus. & Com. Code §17.47(b) as to all matters between the parties relating hereto or arising out of this Judgment in Dallas County, Texas.

# VI. APPLICATION OF JUDGMENT

6.1 The Defendant agrees that the duties, responsibilities, burdens and obligations

undertaken in connection with this Judgment shall apply to The Dannon Company, Inc., its successors and assigns, and their officers, and each of the above's agents, representatives, and employees.

#### VII. PERMANENT INJUNCTION

- 7.1 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT,** pursuant to the DTPA, all of the requirements of this section, Part VII, are cumulative and any representation that Defendant makes shall comply with each and every provision in this Part VII. Except as provided in paragraph 7.2, upon entry of this Judgment, the Defendant, directly or through any corporation, partnership, subsidiary, division, trade name, or other device, is hereby permanently enjoined and restrained from:
  - A. Making any express or implied representation in connection with the Advertising, Marketing, or Labeling of a Covered Product, including through the use of a product name, endorsement, depiction, or illustration, which in the context of the Labeling, Advertisement, or Marketing material, directly states or implies that such Product may be used in the diagnosis, cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of a Disease, including but not limited to:
    - 1. Using:
      - a. the term *L. casei Defensis*;
      - b. the phrase, "strengthens your body's defenses;" or
      - c. any depictions, characters or vignettes that imply active germ fighting;

FINAL JUDGMENT AND AGREED PERMANENT INJUNCTION STATE OF TEXAS V. DANNON COMPANY, INC.

- 2. Representing that any Covered Product can be used to treat, mitigate, cure or prevent diarrhea; provided, however, a structure/function claim that the Covered Product supports or promotes relief from temporary or occasional diarrhea is not prohibited, if the Defendant possesses and relies upon competent and reliable scientific evidence that is sufficient in quality and quantity based on standards generally accepted in the relevant scientific fields when considered in light of the entire body of relevant and reliable scientific evidence that substantiates that the representation is true. For purposes of this Paragraph, competent and reliable scientific evidence means tests, analyses, research, or studies that have been conducted and evaluated in an objective manner by qualified persons and are generally accepted in the profession to yield accurate and reliable results.
- 3. Representing that any Covered Product can be used to treat, mitigate, cure, or prevent constipation, including through the use of depictions to symbolize relief from constipation; provided, however a structure/function claim that the Covered Product supports or promotes relief from temporary and occasional constipation is not prohibited, if the Defendant possesses and relies upon competent and reliable scientific evidence that is sufficient in quality and quantity based on standards generally accepted in the

FINAL JUDGMENT AND AGREED PERMANENT INJUNCTION STATE OF TEXAS V. DANNON COMPANY, INC.

relevant scientific fields when considered in light of the entire body of relevant and reliable scientific evidence that substantiates that the representation is true. For purposes of this Paragraph, competent and reliable scientific evidence means tests, analyses, research, or studies that have been conducted and evaluated in an objective manner by qualified persons and are generally accepted in the profession to yield accurate and reliable results.

4. Using the word "immunity," or the phrase, "L. casei immunitas", provided however, that a structure/function claim can be made for the word "immunity," or the phrase "L. casei Immunitas" in which (a) the Defendant clearly and conspicuously modifies the word "immunity," or the phrase, "L. casei Immunitas" with a statement that the Covered Product merely helps to promote, support or maintain the immune system of persons who consume a Covered Product and (b) the Defendant possesses and relies upon competent and reliable scientific evidence that is sufficient in quality and quantity based on standards generally accepted in the relevant scientific fields, when considered in light of the entire body of relevant and reliable scientific evidence, to substantiate that the representation is true. For purposes of this paragraph, competent and reliable scientific evidence means tests, analyses, research, or studies that have been conducted and evaluated in an objective

FINAL JUDGMENT AND AGREED PERMANENT INJUNCTION STATE OF TEXAS V. DANNON COMPANY, INC.

- manner by qualified persons and are generally accepted in the profession to yield accurate and reliable results.
- 5. Citing, summarizing, or linking to clinical studies or research in the Labeling of a Covered Product if the citation, summary, or link to the clinical studies or research, in the context of the Labeling as a whole, implies that a Covered Product or an ingredient in a Covered Product treats, mitigates, cures, or prevents a Disease, e.g., placement on the immediate product Labeling or packaging, inappropriate prominence, or lack of relationship to the Covered Product's express claims.
- 6. Depicting a cellular wall fortified with a Covered Product that repels all, or nearly all, of the depictions of germs.
- B. Making any express or implied representation in connection with the Advertising, Marketing, or Labeling of a Covered Product, including through the use of a product name, endorsement, depiction, or illustration, that such Product reduces the likelihood of getting a cold or the flu, which in the context of the Labeling, Advertisement, or Marketing material, directly states or implies that any Covered Product can be used to treat, mitigate, or prevent a cold or the flu.
- C. Making any express or implied representation in connection with the Advertising, Marketing or Labeling of Activia yogurt, including through the use of a product name, endorsement, depiction, or illustration, that

Activia yogurt relieves temporary irregularity or helps with slow intestinal transit time, unless the representation is non-misleading, conveys that eating three servings a day is required to obtain the benefit and, at the time the claim is made, the Defendant possesses and relies upon competent and reliable scientific evidence that substantiates that the representation is true. Provided, however, that nothing in this Paragraph shall prohibit Defendant from representing that such benefit can be achieved from eating less than three servings a day if such claim is non-misleading and Defendant possesses and relies upon competent and reliable scientific evidence that substantiates that the representation is true.

For purposes of paragraph 7.1(C), competent and reliable scientific evidence shall consist of at least two Adequate and Well-Controlled Human Clinical Studies of Activia yogurt, or of an Essentially Equivalent Product, conducted by different researchers, independently of each other, that conform to acceptable designs and protocols and whose results, when considered in light of the entire body of relevant and reliable scientific evidence, are sufficient to substantiate that the representation is true. Defendant shall have the burden of proving that a product satisfies the definition of Essentially Equivalent Product.

Making any express or implied representation in connection with the
 Advertising, Marketing or Labeling of any Covered Product other than
 Activia yogurt, including through the use of a product name, endorsement,

FINAL JUDGMENT AND AGREED PERMANENT INJUNCTION STATE OF TEXAS V. DANNON COMPANY, INC.

depiction, or illustration, that such Product relieves temporary irregularity or helps with slow intestinal transit time, unless the representation is non-misleading and, at the time the claim is made, the Defendant possesses and relies upon competent and reliable scientific evidence that substantiates that the representation is true.

For purposes of paragraph 7.1(D), competent and reliable scientific evidence shall consist of at least two Adequate and Well-controlled Human Clinical Studies of the Covered Product, or of an Essentially Equivalent Product, conducted by different researchers, independently of each other, that conform to acceptable designs and protocols and whose results, when considered in light of the entire body of relevant and reliable scientific evidence, are sufficient to substantiate that the representation is true. Defendant shall have the burden of proving that a product satisfies the definition of Essentially Equivalent Product.

E. Making any express or implied representation in connection with the Advertising, Marketing or Labeling of a Covered Product, including through the use of a product name, endorsement, depiction or illustration, about the health benefits, performance, efficacy or safety of a Covered Product, unless the representation is non-misleading, and, at the time the claim is made, the Defendant possesses and relies upon competent and reliable scientific evidence that is sufficient in quality and quantity based on standards generally accepted in the relevant scientific fields when

FINAL JUDGMENT AND AGREED PERMANENT INJUNCTION STATE OF TEXAS V. DANNON COMPANY, INC.

considered in light of the entire body of relevant and reliable scientific evidence to substantiate that the representation is true.

For the purposes of paragraph 7.1(E), competent and reliable scientific evidence means tests, analyses, research, or studies that have been conducted and evaluated in an objective manner by qualified persons and are generally accepted in the profession to yield accurate and reliable results.

- F. Making, in connection with the Advertising, Marketing, or Labeling of a Covered Product, any express or implied representation about the existence, contents, methodology, statistical analyses, study scope, validity, results, conclusions, or interpretations of any test, study, or research that is false, misleading or deceptive, or that is misleading or deceptive when considered together with other representations or depictions.
- G. Using, in connection with the Labeling of a Covered Product, the term

  Bifidus Regularis<sup>TM</sup>, or any other fanciful term that expressly or impliedly
  represents that a Covered Product helps regulate the digestive system
  unless the Defendant clearly and conspicuously identifies the true
  scientific name of the bacteria, including its genus, species and strain.
- H. Using, in connection with the Labeling of a Covered Product, the term L. casei Immunitas<sup>™</sup>, or any other fanciful term that expressly or impliedly represents that a Covered Product supports, promotes, or maintains the

FINAL JUDGMENT AND AGREED PERMANENT INJUNCTION STATE OF TEXAS V. DANNON COMPANY, INC.

functioning of the immune system unless the Defendant clearly and conspicuously identifies the true scientific name of the bacteria, including its genus, species and strain.

# 7.2 Additional Terms Governing Injunctive Relief

- A. Notwithstanding any of the foregoing provisions, Defendant, directly or through any corporation, partnership, subsidiary, division, trade name, or other device, is hereby permanently enjoined and restrained from making any express or implied statement(s) in connection with the Advertising, Marketing or Labeling of any Covered Product that is false, misleading, or deceptive, or that is misleading or deceptive when considered together with other representations or depictions; and from omitting any material information such that an express or implied statement made by the Defendant, directly or through any corporation, partnership, subsidiary, division, trade name, or other device, is misleading or deceptive.
- B. Nothing in this Judgment shall prohibit Defendant, directly or through any corporation, partnership, subsidiary, division, trade name, or other device, from making any lawful, non-misleading, and non-deceptive representation for any Covered Product that is: (i) specifically permitted in Labeling for such Product by regulations promulgated by the Food and Drug Administration pursuant to the Nutrition Labeling and Education Act of 1990; (ii) lawful for the Covered Product under the Texas Food Drug and Cosmetic Act and rules and regulations adopted pursuant to this Act;

FINAL JUDGMENT AND AGREED PERMANENT INJUNCTION STATE OF TEXAS V. DANNON COMPANY, INC.

(iii) lawful for the Covered Product under any new drug application applicable to such Product approved by the Food and Drug Administration; (iv) part of the lawful marketing for the Covered Product of a homeopathic drug; (v) part of the lawful Marketing for the Covered Product of a Medical Food under the Orphan Drug Amendments of 1998; or (vi) lawful for the Covered Product under a FDA monograph of an over-the-counter drug. The failure of the FDA, FTC, or other law enforcement agency to take an enforcement action, or the mere presence of a representation, statement, or claim in the marketplace does not mean a representation, statement, or claim is lawful.

#### VIII. COMPLIANCE

- 8.1 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT**, pursuant to the DTPA, the Defendant shall, in connection with the Advertising, promotion, offering for sale, or distribution in or from the State of Texas of any Covered Product:
  - A. Take reasonable steps sufficient to monitor and ensure that the Defendant complies with this Judgment. In conducting periodic monitoring of compliance, the Defendant shall document and retain sufficient evidence to detail and substantiate their monitoring efforts and produce such documentation as may be requested by the State within thirty (30) days of such a request.
  - B. Conduct periodic reasonable monitoring of representations made by the Defendant concerning any Covered Product when the relevant actors are

FINAL JUDGMENT AND AGREED PERMANENT INJUNCTION STATE OF TEXAS V. DANNON COMPANY, INC.

engaged in sales or other customer service functions, including representations made orally or through electronic communications. For a period of five (5) years from the date of entry of this Judgment, in conducting periodic monitoring of the representations made by the Defendant concerning any Covered Product, the Defendant shall document and retain sufficient evidence to detail and substantiate their monitoring efforts and produce such documentation to the State within thirty (30) days of such a request.

- C. Conduct periodic reasonable monitoring of representations made about any Covered Product on all Internet websites operated or maintained by the Defendant or anyone doing so on their behalf. For a period of five (5) years from the date of entry of this Judgment, in conducting periodic monitoring of representations made about any Covered Product on Internet websites operated or maintained by the Defendant or anyone doing so on its behalf, the Defendant shall document and retain sufficient evidence to detail and substantiate their monitoring efforts and produce such documentation and records as may be requested by the State within thirty (30) days of such a request.
- D. Take appropriate disciplinary action against any employee or agent who knew or should have known that he or she had engaged in any conduct prohibited by this Judgment, up to and including termination of any such employment or agency relationship, within a reasonable period of time not

FINAL JUDGMENT AND AGREED PERMANENT INJUNCTION STATE OF TEXAS V. DANNON COMPANY, INC.

- to exceed thirty (30) days after the Defendant knows or should have known that such person is, or has been, engaging in such conduct.
- E. Within sixty (60) days after entry of this Judgment, send an exact copy of this Judgment to each of the Defendant's directors, officers, and any employee, agent, or third party who creates, reviews, or edits the Defendant's Advertising, Marketing or Labeling of Covered Products. The Defendant shall document and retain sufficient evidence to confirm distribution as required by this paragraph and shall produce such documentation to the State within thirty (30) days of such a request.
- F. Within 60 days of entry of this Judgment, institute a reasonable program of surveillance that is adequate to reveal whether the Defendant is disseminating in or from the State of Texas any Advertising, Marketing or Labeling material that contains any representation that violates the provisions of this Judgment. For a period of five (5) years from the date of entry of this Judgment, the Defendant shall document and retain sufficient evidence to detail and substantiate its program of surveillance and shall produce such documentation to the State within thirty (30) days of such a request.
- G. Promptly, and in a reasonable manner, investigate any information the Defendant receives that any retailer or other third party in Texas is using or disseminating any Advertisements or Marketing material, or making any oral statements, that violate the provisions of this Judgment, and send

FINAL JUDGMENT AND AGREED PERMANENT INJUNCTION STATE OF TEXAS V. DANNON COMPANY, INC.

Exhibit A to any retailer or other third party whose Advertisements or Marketing materials of a Covered Product may violate the terms of this Judgment if made by the Defendant. For a period of five (5) years from the date of entry of this Judgment, the Defendant shall document and retain sufficient evidence to detail and substantiate their investigation efforts and shall produce such documentation to the State within thirty (30) days of such a request.

#### IX. PAYMENT TO THE STATES

9.1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT no later than thirty (30) days after the Effective Date of this Judgment, Dannon shall pay a total amount of Twenty-one Million Dollars (\$21,000,000;) to the Office of the Attorney General of Tennessee through electronic funds transfer. The Tennessee Attorney General shall divide and distribute these funds to each Signatory Attorney General of the Multistate Working Group in an amount to be designated by, and in the sole discretion of, the Multistate Executive Committee. Said payment shall be used by the States as and for attorneys' fees and other costs of investigation and litigation, or to be placed in, or applied to, the consumer protection enforcement fund, including future consumer protection enforcement, consumer education, litigation or local consumer aid fund or revolving fund, used to defray the costs of the inquiry leading hereto, or for other uses permitted by state

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Working Group consists of Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Texas, Tennessee, Vermont, Washington, West Virginia and Wisconsin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Executive Committee consists of Arizona, Florida, Kentucky, North Carolina, Ohio, Oregon, Texas, Tennessee, and Wisconsin.

law, at the sole discretion of each Signatory Attorney General.

9.2 Texas shall receive Nine Hundred Eleven Thousand One Hundred Eleven Dollars (\$911,111.00) as attorneys fees and investigative costs.

# X. GENERAL PROVISIONS

- 10.1 The acceptance of this Judgment by the State shall not be deemed approval by the State of any of the Defendant's advertising or business practices. Further, neither the Defendant nor anyone acting on its behalf shall state or imply, or cause to be stated or implied, that the State or any other governmental unit of the State has approved, sanctioned or authorized any practice, act, advertisement or conduct of the Defendant.
  - 10.2 This Judgment may only be enforced by the State, the Defendant, and this Court.
- 10.3 The titles and headers to each section of this Judgment are for convenience purposes only and are not intended by the parties to lend meaning to the actual provisions of the Judgment.
- 10.4 Nothing in this Judgment shall limit the State's right to obtain information, documents or testimony from the Defendant pursuant to any state or federal law, regulation or rule.
- 10.5 Nothing in this Judgment shall be construed to limit the authority of the Attorney General to protect the interests of the State or consumers. Except as provided in Section XII of this Judgment, this Judgment shall not bar the State, or any other governmental entity from enforcing laws, regulations or rules against the Defendant.
- 10.6 It is the intent of the Parties that this Judgment not be admissible in other actions or binding on the Defendant in any respect other than in connection with the enforcement of this

FINAL JUDGMENT AND AGREED PERMANENT INJUNCTION STATE OF TEXAS V. DANNON COMPANY, INC.

Judgment.

10.7 No waiver, modification, or amendment of the terms of this Judgment shall be

valid or binding unless made in writing, signed by the party to be charged, approved by this

Court and then only to the extent specifically set forth in such written waiver, modification or

amendment.

10.8 Any failure by any party to this Judgment to insist upon the strict performance by

any other party of any of the provisions of this Judgment shall not be deemed a waiver of any of

the provisions of this Judgment, and such party, notwithstanding such failure, shall have the right

thereafter to insist upon the specific performance of any and all of the provisions of this

Judgment and the imposition of any applicable penalties, including but not limited to contempt,

civil penalties as set forth in the DTPA and/or the payment of attorneys fees to the State and

other applicable state law.

10.9 If any clause, provision or section of this Judgment shall, for any reason, be held

illegal, invalid or unenforceable, such illegality, invalidity or unenforceability shall not affect

any other clause, provision or section of this Judgment and this Judgment shall be construed and

enforced as if such illegal, invalid or unenforceable clause, section or other provision had not

been contained herein.

10.10 Time shall be of the essence with respect to each provision of this Judgment that

requires action to be taken by the Defendant within a stated time period or upon a specified date.

10.11 Nothing in this Judgment shall be construed to waive any claims of Sovereign

Immunity the State may have in any action or proceeding.

10.12 This Judgment sets forth the entire agreement between the parties, and there are

FINAL JUDGMENT AND AGREED PERMANENT INJUNCTION STATE OF TEXAS V. DANNON COMPANY, INC.

no representations, agreements, arrangements, or understanding, oral or written, between the parties relating to the subject matter of this Judgment which are not fully expressed hereto or attached hereto.

- 10.13 The Defendant will not participate, directly or indirectly, in any activity or form a separate entity or corporation for the purpose of engaging in acts or practices in whole or in part in the State which are prohibited in this Judgment or for any other purpose which would otherwise circumvent any part of this Judgment or the spirit or purposes of this Judgment.
- 10.14 The Defendant has provided the State with certain documents, advertisements, and contracts. The Defendant acknowledges and agrees that providing these documents to the State(s) in no way constitutes the State's pre-approval, review for compliance with state or federal law, or with this Judgment, or a release of any issues relating to such documents.
- 10.15 The Defendant further agrees to execute and deliver all authorizations, documents and instruments which are necessary to carry out the terms and conditions of this Judgment.
- 10.16 This document may be executed in any number of counterparts and by different signatories on separate counterparts, each of which shall constitute an original counterpart hereof and all of which together shall constitute one and the same document. One or more counterparts of this Judgment may be delivered by facsimile or electronic transmission with the intent that it or they shall constitute an original counterpart thereof.

# XI. COMPLIANCE WITH ALL LAWS

11.1 Nothing in this Judgment shall be construed as relieving the Defendant of the obligation to comply with all state and federal laws, regulations or rules, nor shall any of the provisions of this Judgment be deemed to be permission to engage in any acts or practices

FINAL JUDGMENT AND AGREED PERMANENT INJUNCTION STATE OF TEXAS V. DANNON COMPANY, INC.

prohibited by such law, regulation, or rule.

11.2 Nothing in this Judgment shall require the Defendant to: (A) take an action that is otherwise prohibited by the Constitution, laws or rules or regulations made there under, of the United States or the State of Texas; or (B) fail to take an action which is so required.

### XII. RELEASE

- 12.1 Nothing in this Judgment shall impair or limit the private right of action that any consumer, person, or entity may have against the Defendant.
- 12.2 By execution of this Judgment and following a full and complete payment to the States, the State of Texas releases and forever discharges to the fullest extent of the law, the Defendant, as defined above, from the following: all civil claims, causes of action, damages, restitution, fines, costs, and penalties that the Texas Attorney General could have asserted against the Defendant under the DTPA resulting from the Covered Conduct up to and including the Effective Date that is the subject of this Judgment.
- 12.3 Notwithstanding any term of this Judgment, any and all of the following forms of liability are specifically reserved and excluded from the Release in Section 12.2 as to any entity or person, including the Defendant:
  - A. Any criminal liability that any person or entity, including the Defendant, has or may have to the State of Texas.
  - B. Any civil or administrative liability that any person or entity, including the Defendant, has or may have to the State of Texas under any statute, regulation or rule not expressly covered by the release in Section 12.2 above, including but not limited to, any and all of the following claims:

FINAL JUDGMENT AND AGREED PERMANENT INJUNCTION STATE OF TEXAS V. DANNON COMPANY, INC.

- (i) State or federal antitrust violations; or
- (ii) State or federal tax claims.
- C. Any liability under the State of Texas' above-cited consumer protection laws that any person and/or entity, including the Defendant has or may have to individual consumers, persons, or entities.

### XIII. DISPUTES REGARDING COMPLIANCE

- Judgment, should the Attorney General have a reasonable basis to believe that the Defendant has engaged in a practice that violates a provision of this Judgment subsequent to the Effective Date of this Judgment, then the Attorney General shall notify the Defendant in writing of the specific objection, identify with particularity the provisions of this Judgment that the practice appears to violate, and give the Defendant thirty (30) calendar days to respond to the notification; provided, however, that the Attorney General may take any action where the Attorney General concludes that, because of the specific practice, a threat to the health or safety of the public requires immediate action.
- 13.2 Upon receipt of written notice and within the thirty (30) calendar-day period, the Defendant shall provide a good faith written response to the Attorney General's objection. The response shall include an affidavit containing either:
  - A. A statement explaining why the Defendant believes it is in compliance with the Judgment; or
  - B. A detailed explanation of how the alleged violation(s) occurred; and
    - i. A statement that the alleged violation has been remedied and how

FINAL JUDGMENT AND AGREED PERMANENT INJUNCTION STATE OF TEXAS V. DANNON COMPANY, INC.

it has been remedied; or

- ii. A statement that the alleged violation cannot be reasonably remedied within thirty (30) calendar days from receipt of the notice, but (1) the Defendant has begun to take corrective action to remedy the violation; (2) the Defendant is pursuing such corrective action with reasonable and due diligence; and (3) the Defendant has provided the Attorney General with a detailed and reasonable time table for remedying the alleged violation.
- 13.3 Nothing herein shall prevent the Attorney General from agreeing in writing to provide the Defendant with additional time beyond the thirty (30) calendar-day period to respond to the notice.
- 13.4 Nothing herein shall be construed to exonerate any failure to comply with any provision of this Judgment after the date of entry or to compromise the authority of the Attorney General to initiate a proceeding for failure to comply. Further, nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the authority of the Attorney General to protect the interests of the State.
- 13.5 The Attorney General represents that he or she will seek enforcement of the provisions of this Judgment with due regard for fairness and, in so doing, shall take into account efforts that the Defendant has taken to remedy any claimed violation of this Judgment.
- 13.6 Upon giving the Defendant thirty (30) calendar days to respond to the notification described in Paragraph 13.1 above, the Attorney General shall be permitted to request and the Defendant shall produce relevant, non-privileged, non-work-product records and documents in the possession, custody or control of the Defendant that relate to its compliance with each

FINAL JUDGMENT AND AGREED PERMANENT INJUNCTION STATE OF TEXAS V. DANNON COMPANY, INC.

provision of this Judgment as to which legally sufficient cause has been shown.

## XIV. NOTIFICATION TO STATE

14.1 For five (5) years following execution of this Judgment, the Defendant shall notify the State, in writing at least thirty (30) calendar days prior to the Effect Date of any proposed changes in its corporate structure, such as dissolution, assignment, or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation or firm, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries, or any other changes in the Defendant's status that may impact in any way compliance with obligations arising out of this Judgment.

14.2 Any notices required to be sent to the State or the Defendant by this Judgment shall be sent by United States mail, certified mail return receipt requested or other nationally recognized courier service that provides for tracking services and identification of the person signing for the document. The documents shall be sent to the following addresses:

For the Texas Attorney General:

#### JOYCE WEIN ILIYA

Assistant Attorney General Consumer Protection & Public Health Division 1412 Main St., Ste. 810 Dallas, Texas 75202

For the Defendant: Sarah Reznek Bingham McCutchen LLP 2020 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-1806

### XV. PAYMENT OF COURT COSTS

15.1 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT** all court costs associated with this action and any other incidental costs or expenses incurred in this action thereby shall be borne by the

FINAL JUDGMENT AND AGREED PERMANENT INJUNCTION STATE OF TEXAS V. DANNON COMPANY, INC.

Defendant. No costs shall be taxed to the State. Further, no discretionary costs shall be taxed to the State.

- 15.2 The clerk is ordered to enter this Judgment forthwith. The clerk of the Court is authorized to issue such writs of execution or other process necessary to collect and enforce this Judgment.
  - 15.3 All relief not granted herein is hereby denied.

SO ORDERED, this 15 day of December, 2010.

DISTRICT JUDGE

# JOINTLY APPROVED AND SUBMITTED FOR ENTRY:

GREG ABBOTT Attorney General of Texas

DANIEL T. HODGE First Assistant Attorney General

BILL COBB
Deputy Attorney General for Civil Litigation

PAUL D. CARMONA Chief, Consumer Protection & Public Health Division

D. ESTHER CHAVEZ
Deputy Chief, Consumer Protection & Public Health Division

JOYCE WEIN ILIYA State Bar No.: 00784319

Assistant Attorney General

JODIE SCIVETTI

Assistant Attorney General State Bar No. 24058099

Consumer Protection & Public Health Division

1412 Main St., Ste. 810 Dallas, Texas 75202 (214) 969-7639, ext. 8811

(214) 969-7615 fax

Attorneys for the STATE OF TEXAS

FINAL JUDGMENT AND AGREED PERMANENT INJUNCTION STATE OF TEXAS V. DANNON COMPANY, INC.

# THE DANNON COMPANY, INC.

100 Hillside Avenue White Plains, NY 10603 Phone: 914-872-8400

Fax: 914-872-1554

By: Kenneth Strick

Title: Vice President and Secretary

Date: December 9, 2010

Bingham McCutchen LLP

National Counsel for THE DANNON COMPANY, INC.

Sarah Reznek

Bingham McCutchen LLP

2020 K Street, NW

Washington, DC 20006-1806

Phone: 202-373-6171 Fax: 202-373-6001

Date: 12/10/16

# FOR THE DANNON COMPANY, INC.

Sary R. HUFFMAN

Bingham McCutchen LLP 2020 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Tel: (202) 373-6197 Fax: (202) 373-6001

Email: gary.huffman@bingham.com

TX Bar No. 10191100

Date: De Cember 9, 2010

### **EXHIBIT A**

# GOVERNMENT-ORDERED DISCLOSURE [on Dannon Company, Inc., letterhead]

[Insert Date]

[Addressee]

Dear Dannon Company, Inc., Distributor, Reseller, or Retailer:

The Dannon Company, Inc., (Dannon) recently reached a settlement with the Attorneys General of thirty-eight states and the State of Hawaii, Office of Consumer Protection (State AGs) resolving an investigation into what the State AGs believed to be unsubstantiated and/or deceptive and unlawful claims concerning Dannon's Activia and DanActive products. Although we dispute the views of the State AGs and deny any wrongdoing, we have agreed to resolve the State AGs' investigation.

Dannon will work with you to ensure the advertisements that you distribute are in compliance with the Settlement Agreement. To comply with the Settlement Agreement reached with the State AGs, Dannon offers its assistance in ensuring that the advertising or promotional materials that you disseminate regarding Activia and DanActive products will be in compliance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, including claims identified in the Consent Judgment. Such claims about Activia and DanActive products may only be made if they are true, adequately substantiated and otherwise permitted by law as stated in the Settlement Agreement.

A copy of the settlement with the State AGs is attached. If you have any questions, please call [insert name and telephone numbers of the responsible Dannon Company, Inc. Attorney or Officer].

Sincerely,

The Dannon Company, Inc.